Downieville Junior-Senior High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Downieville Junior-Senior High School			
Street	130 School St.			
City, State, Zip	Downieville, CA 95936			
Phone Number	530.289.3473			
Principal	Derek Cooper			
E-mail Address	dcooper@spjusd.org			
CDS Code	46701774632303			

District Contact Information			
District Name	Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District		
Phone Number	530.993.1660		
Web Site	www.sierracountyofficeofeducation.org		
Superintendent	Dr. Merrill M. Grant		
E-mail Address	mgrant@spjusd.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Downieville is located on the western slopes of the Sierra Nevada and is the county seat. Its year-round population is approximately 325, but that number swells during the summer due to tourism. Presently, the chief employers in the community are the County of Sierra, Cal-Trans, tourist-related businesses and the schools. Along with recreation, the economy was formerly based in mining and forestry, but the last decade has seen an employment decline in these areas causing an exodus of families from the region. Many homes have been purchased as second homes and are only used during the summer tourist season.

Downieville Junior-Senior High School is a small community of five classrooms. We have few teachers that need to wear many hats. There are four full time teachers. Four of the teachers are core instructors, mathematics, science, social science and english language arts. In addition to their main subject matter, these teachers also provide a selection of elective offerings. We also have a part time teacher for woodshop.

The school is located at the same site as the elementary school. They share their site administrator, office, special education, facility, custodial and kitchen staff. This highly unique school offers the students the opportunity to receive a lot of direct attention from their certificated instructors. Therefore, it is very difficult for our students to "fall through the cracks". Because of our small size and limited teachers, we are forced to offer several classes with combined grade levels. These combined classes are then rotated every other year. This allows the kids an opportunity to work at their grade level or move up or down as needed to become successful. We are very proud of our continued success in having a 100% pass rate for the required California High School Exit Exam. The high school has an API score of 783.

The attendance area includes several small communities from Bassetts to Alleghany along the Highway 49 corridor.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents are a welcome asset to our school. They play a very important role through their active participation and involvement in the Site Council, Parent Teacher Organization (PTO), Sports Booster Club, and serving as volunteers in the classrooms. These services are invaluable in assisting us to meet our goal of providing a positive learning environment for our children. We provide annual events such as Open House, Back to School Night, halloween carnival and sporting events. These programs and activities are what help establish our school culture. We ask that parents that wish to volunteer on a regular basis have their fingerprints cleared through the district office. Any questions, concerns or inquiries about our school and activities should be directed to Derek Cooper, Principal, at (530) 289-3473.

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School District			State					
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
English-Language Arts	52	45	62	56	59	61	54	56	55	
Mathematics	18	17	24	46	47	57	49	50	50	
Science	64			61	67	70	57	60	59	
History-Social Science	27	35		46	44	43	48	49	49	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

G	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science			
All Students in the LEA	61	57	70	43			
All Student at the School	62	24					
Male	55						
Female							
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	73	23					
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	27					
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services							

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB).

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison

Camorina riigii School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Fell Stadents - Timee Fear Companson									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject		School		District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts				54	77	46	59	56	57
Mathematics				61	59	61	56	58	60

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Engl	ish-Language	Arts	Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	54	14	32	39	46	14
All Students at the School	0	0	0	0	0	
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	8	7	5
Similar Schools			

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change						
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
All Students at the School	-25	-47	38				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White							
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2013 Growth API							
Group	School		Dist	rict	Sta	State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School	19	783	275	829	4,655,989	790		
Black or African American	0		4		296,463	708		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		2		30,394	743		
Asian	2		3		406,527	906		
Filipino	0		0		121,054	867		
Hispanic or Latino	3		43	809	2,438,951	744		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		0		25,351	774		
White	14	808	219	833	1,200,127	853		
Two or More Races	0		2		125,025	824		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13	773	116	830	2,774,640	743		
English Learners	3		21	777	1,482,316	721		
Students with Disabilities	3		28	740	527,476	615		

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- · Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District		
Made AYP Overall	No	No		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No		
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes		
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A		

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		20.0

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	5
Grade 8	2
Grade 9	3
Grade 10	5
Grade 11	4
Grade 12	5
Total Enrollment	24

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0	White	79.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	Two or More Races	0.0
Asian	8.3	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66.7
Filipino	0.0	English Learners	12.5
Hispanic or Latino	12.5	Students with Disabilities	8.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2010-11			2011-12			2012-13					
Subject	Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Avg. Number of Classrooms			Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms		
0,000	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	4.1	9	0	0	6.8	8	0	0	2	11		
Mathematics	3.4	8	0	0	3.5	4	0	0	2	7		
Science	6	4	0	0	6.7	3	0	0	5	4		
Social Science	4.4	7	0	0	6.5	8	0	0	4	7		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Downieville Junior-Senior High School is situated in the small rural town of Downieville, California. Due to its small size, Downieville does not have a lot of crime that you would see in much larger suburban areas. This, however, does not mean that we do not take the safety of our children seriously. The Leadership team of the Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District has completed an update of our district/schools Safety Plan this school year. The Safety Plan includes contingencies for fire, earthquake, stranger/intruder, pandemic flu or other illness.

All students are under constant adult supervision by a school employee. In their classroom, there is always a certificated teacher and or a classified aide with the children at all times. During outside activities, recess and lunch times the school provides a dedicated aide to be with the children.

Being very small and intimate, the staff is very familiar with all of the parents, guardians and other family members of our children. This unique situation allows us to keep track of who is on our campus much easier. This, however, does not mean that visitors do not have to check in at the front office.

The School Safety Plan has been given to all certificated staff members to be kept in a binder in their classrooms. The school runs practice "safety drills" several times a year on a surprise basis to monitor the effectiveness of each drill. This includes a week-long safety training for all staff and students.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Data		School		District			
Rate	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Suspensions	10	7.14	2	6.37	4.04	18	
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	.25	0	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013							
System Inspected	R	epair Stati	us	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	Central oil fired 50+ year old system, inefficient and requires constant repair but working system.			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[X]	Hallway and storage room			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	No apparent problems			

Overall Facility Rate

Oversell Bestine	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	5	5	5	26
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	1	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	87.5	12.5			
All Schools in District	95.5	4.6			
High-Poverty Schools in District	96.8	3.2			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	87.5	12.5			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.1	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.1	
Psychologist	0.1	
Social Worker	0.0	
Nurse	0.0	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.1	
Resource Specialist	0.1	
Other	1.0	

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 12/14/2010

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what is required by the California Content Standards.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Literature: Bronze & Silver - Prentice Hall Adopted 2002 Literature & Language Arts - Holt Adopted 2003	Yes	0
Mathematics	Algebra I - Glencoe Adopted 2008 Geometry - Glencoe Adopted 2005 Pre Algebra - Glencoe Adopted 2008 Algebra II - Glencoe Adopted 2008	Yes	0
Science	Life - Holt Adopted 2001 Biology - Glencoe Adopted 2012 Earth Science - Glencoe Adopted 2012 Physical - Holt Adopted 2012 Physics-Pearson Adopted 2012 Chemistry-Matter & Change-Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2012	Yes	0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	World Geography - Glencoe Adopted 2000 American Government - Prentice Hall Adopted 2000 United States History - Holt Adopted 2006	Yes	0
Health	Health Promotion Waves curriculum - Health Wave, 2010, all reproducible units.	No	0

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$25,171	\$5,287	\$19,884	\$52,357
District			\$10,414	\$52,490
Percent Difference: School Site and District			90.9	-0.3
State			\$5,537	\$58,606
Percent Difference: School Site and State			259.1	-10.7

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$32,223	\$38,390
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$49,184	\$55,793
Highest Teacher Salary	\$66,147	\$72,306
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$92,796	\$88,846
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$92,796	\$92,801
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$95,618	\$95,916
Superintendent Salary	\$120,200	\$116,026
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	26.7%	34.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.9%	6.6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/.

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

la dianta a	School		District		State				
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate	30.00	16.70	0.00	6.40	7.10	3.00	16.60	14.70	13.10
Graduation Rate		83.33	100.00		92.86	96.97	80.53	77.14	78.73

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012			
	School	District	State	
All Students	4	31	418,598	
Black or African American		2	28,078	
American Indian or Alaska Native			3,123	
Asian			41,700	
Filipino			12,745	
Hispanic or Latino		4	193,516	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			2,585	
White	4	25	127,801	
Two or More Races			6,790	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	2	17	217,915	
English Learners		2	93,297	
Students with Disabilities	1	3	31,683	

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Some high schools offer courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)

Measure	CTE Program Participation	
Number of pupils participating in CTE	11	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0	
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	0	

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	25.7
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	75.0

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English	1	
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science	4	
All courses	5	3.4

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers take some time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Here you'll see the amount of time each year we set aside for their continuing education and professional development. We have teacher planning and improvement time 9 times a year for a half day.