

Loyalton High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Loyalton High School
Street	700 Fourth Street
City, State, Zip	Loyalton, CA 96118-0037
Phone Number	530.993.4454
Principal	Thomas Jones
E-mail Address	tjones@spjUSD.org
Web Site	loyaltonhighschool.com
CDS Code	46701774634259

District Contact Information	
District Name	Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	530.993.1660
Superintendent	Dr. Merrill M. Grant
E-mail Address	mgrant@spjUSD.org
Web Site	www.sierracountyofficeofeducation.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Loyalton High School is a small school that provides a comprehensive educational experience for our students. Students at Loyalton High School can choose from a variety of courses, seated within college and career pathways, to help them meet academic and vocational interests. These courses range from Agriculture to Advanced Placement Calculus. Graduates of Loyalton High School have succeeded in rigorous university settings and graduated with marketable employment skills. Because of our small enrollment, students receive personalized attention in setting and achieving their academic goals. The dedicated staff provides a wide array of co- and extra-curricular activities to enrich the lives of our students.

The Loyalton High School Mission Statement: We are committed to high expectations and achievement for all students. We encourage students to become knowledgeable, critical thinkers; effective communicators; and healthy individuals who exercise self-discipline and productive, positive citizenship.

The Loyalton High School Athletic Mission Statement: Loyalton High School athletics promote success in academics while emphasizing the positive aspects of health and fitness, commitment, teamwork, competition, and good sportsmanship for the overall good of the students, school, and community.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	27
Grade 8	25
Grade 9	33
Grade 10	29
Grade 11	22
Grade 12	21
Total Enrollment	157

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	14.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	77.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39.5
English Learners	5.1
Students with Disabilities	7.6
Foster Youth	0.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	10	12	11	29
Without Full Credential	1	1	1	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	3	1	1	1

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	3	1	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2018

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what is required by the California Content Standards.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	<p>Grades 7 & 8: Windows and Mirrors Levels Levels 1&2 , EMC Publishing LLC, 2016, Adopted 2016</p> <p>Grades 9-12: Windows and Mirrors Levels 3&4, American, and British Literature, EMC Publishing LLC, 2016, Adopted 2016</p> <p>AP English Lit: Norton Introduction to Literature - W.W. Norton & Company, 2002 Adopted 2003</p> <p>AP English Lang: The Norton Reader: An Anthology of Nonfiction Prose, W.W. Norton & Company, 2000 Adopted 2003</p> <p>AP English Lang: Everything's an Argument, Bedford/St. Martin's, 2001 Adopted 2003</p> <p>Grades 7-12: Holt Handbook - Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2003 Adopted 2003</p>	Yes	0
Mathematics	<p>Grade 7 - Mathematics, Course 2 Common Core Math, Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2013 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Grade 8 - Mathematics, Course 3 Common Core Math, Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2013 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Algebra 1 - Algebra 1 Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Geometry - Geometry Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Algebra 2 - Algebra II Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Trigonometry/Advanced Math Topics - PreCalculus - Houghton Mifflin Company, 2004 Adopted 2009</p> <p>Calculus - Calculus Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p>	Yes	0
Science	<p>Grade 7 - Life Science, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Grade 8 - Physical Science, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Biology - Biology, Glencoe McGraw-Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p>	Yes	0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
	<p>Earth Science - Geology, The Environment, and the Universe, McGraw-Hill Companies, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Chemistry - Chemistry - Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>AP Chemistry - Chemistry, AP Edition, 8th Edition, Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Physics - Physics - Pearson, 2014 Adopted 2013</p>		
History-Social Science	<p>Social Studies 7 - Medieval to Early Modern Times, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2006 Adopted 2007</p> <p>Social Studies 8 - United States History, Independence to 1914, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2006 Adopted 2007</p> <p>World History - Modern World History Patterns of Interaction - McDougal Littell 2009 Adopted 2009</p> <p>US History - The Americans, McDougall-Littell, 2000 Adopted 2001</p> <p>Government - Magruder's American Government - Prentice Hall Adopted 2013</p> <p>AP Government - American Government, 13th Edition, Wadsworth Cengage Learning, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Economics - Principles in Action - Prentice Hall 2007 Adopted 2009</p> <p>AP US History - The American Pageant - Volumes I and II - Houghton Mifflin 2006 Adopted 2006</p> <p>AP Government - The Lanahan Readings in the American Polity: 5th Edition, 2011 Adopted 2013</p>	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Spanish: Avancemos! - Holt McDougal, 2010, Levels 1-4	Yes	0
Health	Health Promotion Waves curriculum - Health Wave, 2010, all reproducible units. Adopted 2011	Yes	N/A

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Visual and Performing Arts	Color: A Workshop Approach - McGraw Hill, 2005 (classroom set only) Adopted 2008 Living with Art - McGraw Hill, 2008 (classroom set only) Adopted 2008	Yes	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	A grant provided for the purchase of updated lab equipment in 2011. In addition, a chemical sweep in 2010 made it necessary for an entirely new purchase of chemicals for science labs in 2011. Science equipment for outdoor science labs was donated by Learning Landscapes in 2014.	Yes	N/A

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

To determine the condition of our facilities our district performs an annual inspection using the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Fair	Bleachers in the gym are showing considerable wear and damage to the closing mechanisms and there is damage to some of the planks. Repair plans include recalibrating the hardware to allow for correct operation and replacement of suspect planks.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Fair	The Gym roof is in need of repair.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Poor	The external windows in the school are older single pane unites with poor sealing ability and poor latching systems. Football field sprinkler system needs extensive repair to valves and sprinkler heads. Plans are in place to begin seeking quotes for window repair. Main shut off valve has been installed to allow for individual zones to be shut off for repair.

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2018	
Overall Rating	Fair

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	50.0	49.0	45.0	44.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	32.0	33.0	34.0	35.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	70	95.89	48.57
Male	43	43	100.00	37.21
Female	30	27	90.00	66.67
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
White	56	54	96.43	48.15
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	31	30	96.77	60.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	74	69	93.24	33.33
Male	44	42	95.45	30.95
Female	30	27	90	37.04
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
White	56	53	94.64	37.74
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	31	29	93.55	44.83
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2017-18)

- Thought the CTE Programs at LHS, students are able attend numerous activities that link education with career exploration and guidance. Through the activities associated with FFA competitions, meetings, and field days students interact with industry leaders and are able to visit many colleges. Students are provided with opportunities to explore the careers achievable after high school. The course advisor links course curriculum to current occupational realities, thus providing links to the current agricultural career landscape. Students are able to take advantage of multiple career assessments opportunities such as the ASVAB CEP program and I-CEV, (Online career and instructional courses) wherein students have the ability to obtain industry based certifications in the chosen course of study.
- Loyalton High School provides a coherent pathway for students to obtain agricultural completer status through it's diverse Ag. program courses. The following courses are University of California A-G aligned, and lead to completer status and CTE certifications: Agriscience 1 and 2; Ag. Biology; Floriculture; Additional courses that are offered that lead to CTE certification and completer status are: Ag. Mechanics; Ag. Leadership; and Ag. Business; Animal Science; and Horticulture. The courses are offered by a highly qualified and credentialed advisor who ensures the Ag. program is operated by FFA and State Standards. The local CTE Agriculture plan is developed collaboratively with leadership and a local advisory panel comprised of industry experts. Every student has a Supervised Agricultural Work Experience whether in entrepreneurship or work placement. These projects are geared to the student's interests and promote the soft skills (work ethic, integrity, dependability). Additionally these projects are aligned to content standards within their stated goals. Additionally, Loyalton High School's Ag. department students are able to tie in classroom learning with an on site "learning landscape area" which is located on school district property. The Ag. program assists in the management of the area as they study its animal and plant populations.
- Program effectiveness and curricular alignment to industry need are evaluated annually as a requirement for CTEIG and Perkins funding. The LHS Ag. Department meets quarterly with a local industry sector panel to stay current on industry trends and curricular alignment.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2017-18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	45
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	13
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	100

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	66.9
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	54.6

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	**	**	**
7	24.1	27.6	44.8
9	14.3	28.6	28.6

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents are valuable contributors to the Loyalton High School learning community. Our staff encourages and welcomes parental involvement. Parents are invited to participate in annual four-year planning evening sessions where the academic progress of their child(ren) is discussed one-on-one with staff members. Three parents are selected each year to serve on the Loyalton High School Site Council. Loyalton Booster Club and Loyalton Sports Club (middle school parents) membership is mostly comprised of parents who provide financial and physical support to school programs. Parents serve on Special Educational Advisory and English Language Learner parent panels. Additionally, parents serve on Agricultural and Wood Shop advisory panels. Parents are welcome to visit classrooms and are encouraged to participate in school activities. Parents are sought to serve on WASC Committees during accreditation visit years. Parents often serve as field trip chaperones and drivers to extra- and co-curricular activities. The school calendar or events and activities can be found at the school's website: loyaltonhighschool.com. Weekly automated phone calls are sent out each Sunday night, advertising the weeks upcoming events. The daily bulletin is available online through PowerSchool: powerschool.spjUSD.org/public. Parents who do not have their current login information for Powerschool may contact the school office at (530) 993-4454.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	100.0	4.2	0.0	88.0	2.9	0.0	10.7	9.7	9.1
Graduation Rate	0.0	95.8	100.0	4.0	97.1	100.0	82.3	83.8	82.7

For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2017		
	School	District	State
All Students	100.0	96.0	88.7
Black or African American	0.0	0.0	82.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	0.0	82.8
Asian	0.0	0.0	94.9
Filipino	0.0	0.0	93.5
Hispanic or Latino	100.0	100.0	86.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	88.6
White	94.1	89.5	92.1
Two or More Races	0.0	0.0	91.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.0	100.0	88.6
English Learners	100.0	100.0	56.7
Students with Disabilities	0.0	0.0	67.1
Foster Youth	0.0	0.0	74.1

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

Loyalton High School staff and students enjoy a safe place to work and learn. Staff members remain vigilant during school breaks and before and after school each day. All visitors are asked to check in at the school office, and regular school volunteers are screened through the district screening process. The district School Safety Plan was revised and implemented in the fall of 2008 and reviewed annually since. Safety drills are performed; students are well aware of safety procedures during safety drills. District personnel are assigned to review health records and report to the staff the special health needs of students. Staff has been A.L.I.C.E. trained and will continue to be trained over the next 2 years.

School suspensions are extremely low and a positive school culture is supported. Loyalton High school uses restorative justice programs such as Peace Mediators to foster positive peer interaction and student leadership. This school year, Loyalton High School will be unveiling its PBIS program to continue its positive school culture.

The Leadership team of the Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District and the School Site Council have completed an update of our district/schools Safety Plan. Our Safety Plan is based on the National Emergency Management System and is reviewed by the Board of Education annually.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2015-16			Avg. Class Size	2016-17			Avg. Class Size	2017-18					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms				
		1-22	23-32			33+	1-22			23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+
English	7.0	30			9.0	28	1		8.0	31	1			
Mathematics	11.0	14			10.0	20			12.0	14	2			
Science	10.0	10	1	1	10.0	11			12.0	7	2			
Social Science	6.0	27		1	5.0	28			5.0	26	2			

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.12	107
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.12	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.38	N/A
Psychologist	.37	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.12	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	N/A
Other	1.7	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	11597.78	567.87	11029.91	61224.53
District	N/A	N/A	10021.88	\$61,173
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	9.6	-2.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,590
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	50.6	-1.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

According to the goals in our LCAP, budgeted funds were used to support students in the following programs and positions: Noon Lunch Supervisor, Intervention Aides, EIA/EL Aide to assist English Learners with core classes, Library Aide, GATE, FFA, athletics, and advanced placement and on-line classes. In addition, funding was provided for the purchase of technology to assist in our educational goals. Smartboards are installed in classrooms and students were issued ChromeBooks on a 1 to 1 ratio. Funding is also provided for professional development to keep teachers and administrators up to date in methods and curriculum. A Curriculum Coordinator position was created in part to train teachers in ways to integrate technology to enhance instruction. Classroom furniture updates are currently underway.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,965	\$42,990
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,177	\$61,614
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,759	\$85,083
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$88,274	\$100,802
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$105,404
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$88,863	\$106,243
Superintendent Salary	\$146,418	\$132,653
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	27.0	30.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0	6.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017-18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	1	N/A
All courses	4	12.1

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

For the 2018-19 school year, SPJUSD has continued early release Wednesdays, which will focus on professional development and MTSS activities. During the past few years, we have spent time at Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) and faculty meetings on school-wide literacy systems such as note taking strategies, writing across the curriculum, academic vocabulary development, and reading. Additionally, teaching and learning have been enhanced by implementation of Marzano (Art and Science of Teaching and Learning) frameworks. Also, the staff has begun to narrow curricular focus to essential standards. This is also guided by the Marzano Laboratories. In addition, the district has promoted the use of technology by purchasing smart boards, computers, and other technology for classrooms and offering multiple in-service programs on their use and the development of classroom activities to support new standards. During a recent 1:1 initiative, all students of Loylton High School were provided with Google Chrome Books. Much professional development time this year has been designated toward the integration of this technology into the classrooms. The PLCs continue to encourage teacher collaboration for the improvement of subject area teaching, classroom management, and student learning and the development of systems to allow for continual improvement. Teacher meetings are held weekly every Tuesday afternoon. More and more we are including teaching strategies, plans for intervention, and school planning into these meetings. Student data is the beginning point of all planning each school year. In addition to test scores, the staff looks at grades and attendance data to plan for the success of each student in meeting individual goals. This data drives the direction the school takes in professional development, support services, and school goals for each year. School funds have been available for staff to attend a variety of subject specific or general professional development programs during the school year and summers. There is a provision in the certificated contract to pay stipends to teachers for attending in-service programs during holidays or school vacations. Release time is provided for programs offered during the school year. Administration makes every effort to encourage and support professional development.