

Loyalton High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Loyalton High School
Street	700 Fourth Street
City, State, Zip	Loyalton, CA 96118-0037
Phone Number	530.993.4454
Principal	Thomas Jones
E-mail Address	tjones@spjUSD.org
Web Site	loyaltonhighschool.com
CDS Code	46701774634259

District Contact Information	
District Name	Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	530.993.1660
Superintendent	Dr. Merrill M. Grant
E-mail Address	mgrant@spjUSD.org
Web Site	www.sierracountyofficeofeducation.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Loyalton High School is a small school that provides a comprehensive educational experience for our students. Students at Loyalton High School can choose from a variety of courses, seated within college and career pathways, to help them meet academic and vocational interests. These courses range from Agriculture to Advanced Placement Calculus. Graduates of Loyalton High School have succeeded in rigorous university settings and graduated with marketable employment skills. Because of our small enrollment, students receive personalized attention in setting and achieving their academic goals. The dedicated staff provides a wide array of co- and extra-curricular activities to enrich the lives of our students.

The Loyalton High School Mission Statement: We are committed to high expectations and achievement for all students. We encourage students to become knowledgeable, critical thinkers; effective communicators; and healthy individuals who exercise self-discipline and productive, positive citizenship.

The Loyalton High School Athletic Mission Statement: Loyalton High School athletics promote success in academics while emphasizing the positive aspects of health and fitness, commitment, teamwork, competition, and good sportsmanship for the overall good of the students, school, and community.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	33
Grade 8	31
Grade 9	24
Grade 10	23
Grade 11	23
Grade 12	24
Total Enrollment	158

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.3
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	15.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	79.7
Two or More Races	1.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	34.8
English Learners	3.2
Students with Disabilities	5.7
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	8	8	10	26
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	3	3	3	6

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	1	1	3
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	74.7	25.3
All Schools in District	72.2	27.8
High-Poverty Schools in District	66.7	33.3
Low-Poverty Schools in District	74.7	25.3

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2016

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what is required by the California Content Standards.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	<p>Grades 7 & 8: Windows and Mirrors Levels Levels 1&2 , EMC Publishing LLC, 2016, Adopted 2016</p> <p>Grades 9-12: Windows and Mirrors Levels 3&4, American, and British Literature, EMC Publishing LLC, 2016, Adopted 2016</p> <p>AP English Lit: Norton Introduction to Literature - W.W. Norton & Company, 2002 Adopted 2003</p> <p>AP English Lang: The Norton Reader: An Anthology of Nonfiction Prose, W.W. Norton & Company, 2000 Adopted 2003</p> <p>AP English Lang: Everything's an Argument, Bedford/St. Martin's, 2001 Adopted 2003</p> <p>Grades 7-12: Holt Handbook - Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2003 Adopted 2003</p>	Yes	0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Mathematics	<p>Grade 7 - Mathematics, Course 2 Common Core Math, Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2013 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Grade 8 - Mathematics, Course 3 Common Core Math, Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2013 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Algebra 1 - Algebra 1 Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Geometry - Geometry Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Algebra 2 - Algebra II Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p> <p>Trigonometry/Advanced Math Topics - PreCalculus - Houghton Mifflin Company, 2004 Adopted 2009</p> <p>Calculus - Calculus Common Core - Pearson, 2015 Adopted 2015</p>	Yes	0
Science	<p>Grade 7 - Life Science, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Grade 8 - Physical Science, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Biology - Biology, Glencoe McGraw-Hill, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Earth Science - Geology, The Environment, and the Universe, McGraw-Hill Companies, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Chemistry - Chemistry - Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>AP Chemistry - Chemistry, AP Edition, 8th Edition, Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning, 2012 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Physics - Physics - Pearson, 2014 Adopted 2013</p>	Yes	0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	<p>Social Studies 7 - Medieval to Early Modern Times, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2006 Adopted 2007</p> <p>Social Studies 8 - United States History, Independence to 1914, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 2006 Adopted 2007</p> <p>World History - Modern World History Patterns of Interaction - McDougal Littell 2009 Adopted 2009</p> <p>US History - The Americans, McDougall-Littell, 2000 Adopted 2001</p> <p>Government - Magruder's American Government - Prentice Hall Adopted 2013</p> <p>AP Government - American Government, 13th Edition, Wadsworth Cengage Learning, 2013 Adopted 2013</p> <p>Economics - Principles in Action - Prentice Hall 2007 Adopted 2009</p> <p>AP US History - The American Pageant - Volumes I and II - Houghton Mifflin 2006 Adopted 2006</p> <p>AP Government - The Lanahan Readings in the American Polity: 5th Edition, 2011 Adopted 2013</p>	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Spanish: Avancemos! - Holt McDougal, 2010, Levels 1-4	Yes	0
Health	Health Promotion Waves curriculum - Health Wave, 2010, all reproducible units. Adopted 2011	Yes	N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	<p>Color: A Workshop Approach - McGraw Hill, 2005 (classroom set only) Adopted 2008</p> <p>Living with Art - McGraw Hill, 2008 (classroom set only) Adopted 2008</p>	Yes	0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	A grant provided for the purchase of updated lab equipment in 2011. In addition, a chemical sweep in 2010 made it necessary for an entirely new purchase of chemicals for science labs in 2011. Science equipment for outdoor science labs was donated by Learning Landscapes in 2014.	Yes	N/A

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

To determine the condition of our facilities our district performs an annual inspection using the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Carpet in the library and weight room is in poor shape. Bleachers mechanism is worn out.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			Rough athletic field surfaces and gopher problems continue in fields.
Electrical: Electrical	X			.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			Need new stalls in student restrooms.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			X	Building loses energy because of poor hardware on windows

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
				X

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	45	25	45	44	44	48
Mathematics	33	25	34	41	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	34	34	100.0	25.0
	8	30	28	93.3	
	11	23	22	95.7	
Male	7	17	17	100.0	25.0
	8	14	14	100.0	
Female	7	17	17	100.0	25.0
	8	16	14	87.5	
	11	13	12	92.3	
White	7	24	24	100.0	100.0
	8	22	20	90.9	
	11	18	17	94.4	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	12	12	100.0	

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	34	33	97.1	25.0
	8	34	33	97.1	25.0
	11	23	22	95.7	
Male	7	17	16	94.1	25.0
	8	17	16	94.1	25.0
Female	7	17	17	100.0	
	8	17	17	100.0	
	11	13	12	92.3	
White	7	24	23	95.8	
	8	24	23	95.8	
	11	18	17	94.4	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	12	11	91.7	100.0
	8	12	11	91.7	100.0

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	52	61	70	60	62	72	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	53	50	94.3	70.0
Male	26	26	100.0	73.1
Female	27	24	88.9	66.7
White	40	38	95.0	68.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	9	81.8	77.8

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The “Proficient or Advanced” is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

- Thought the CTE Programs at LHS, students are able attend numerous activities that link education with career exploration and guidance. Through the activities associated with FFA competitions, meetings, and field days students interact with industry leaders and are able to visit many colleges. Students are provided with opportunities to explore the careers achievable after high school. The course advisor links course curriculum to current occupational realities, thus providing links to the current agricultural career landscape. Students are able to take advantage of multiple career assessments opportunities such as the ASVAB CEP program and I-CEV, (Online career and instructional courses) wherein students have the ability to obtain industry based certifications in the chosen course of study.
- Loylton High School provides a coherent pathway for students to obtain agricultural completer status through it's diverse Ag. program courses. The following courses are University of California A-G aligned, and lead to completer status and CTE certifications: Agriscience 1 and 2; Ag. Biology; Floriculture; Additional courses that are offered that lead to CTE certification and completer status are: Ag. Mechanics; Ag. Leadership; and Ag. Business; Animal Science; and Horticulture. The courses are offered by a highly qualified and credentialed advisor who ensures the Ag. program is operated by FFA and State Standards. The local CTE Agriculture plan is developed collaboratively with leadership and a local advisory panel comprised of industry experts. Every student has a Supervised Agricultural Work Experience whether in entrepreneurship or work placement. These projects are geared to the student's interests and promote the soft skills (work ethic, integrity, dependability). Additionally these projects are aligned to content standards within their stated goals. Additionally, Loylton High School's Ag. department students are able to tie in classroom learning with an on site "learning landscape area" which is located on school district property. The Ag. program assists in the management of the area as they study its animal and plant populations.
- Program effectiveness and curricular alignment to industry need are evaluated annually as a requirement for CTEIG and Perkins funding. The LHS Ag. Department meets quarterly with a local industry sector panel to stay current on industry trends and curricular alignment.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	39
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	15
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	13

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	73.43
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	44.44

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	8.8	26.5	41.2
9	18.2	22.7	27.3

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents are valuable contributors to the Loyalton High School learning community. Our staff encourages and welcomes parental involvement. Parents are invited to participate in annual four-year planning evening sessions where the academic progress of their child(ren) is discussed one-on-one with staff members. Three parents are selected each year to serve on the Loyalton High School Site Council. Loyalton Booster Club and Loyalton Sports Club (middle school parents) membership is mostly comprised of parents who provide financial and physical support to school programs. Additionally parents serve on Agricultural and Wood Shop advisory panels. Parents are welcome to visit classrooms and are encouraged to participate in school activities. Parents are sought to serve on WASC Committees during accreditation visit years. Parents often serve as field trip chaperones and drivers to extra- and co-curricular activities. The school calendar or events and activities can be found at the school's website: loyaltonhighschool.com. Weekly automated phone calls are sent out each Sunday night, advertising the weeks upcoming events. The daily bulletin is available online through PowerSchool: powerschool.spjUSD.org/public. Parents who do not have their current login information for Powerschool may contact the school office at (530) 993-4454.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	3.20	0.00	100.00	2.60	2.80	88.00	11.40	11.50	10.70
Graduation Rate	96.77	100.00	.00	94.74	94.44	4.00	80.44	80.95	82.27

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Loyalton High School staff and students enjoy a safe place to work and learn. Staff members remain vigilant during school breaks and before and after school each day. All visitors are asked to check in at the school office, and regular school volunteers are screened through the district screening process. The district School Safety Plan was revised and implemented in the fall of 2008 and reviewed annually since. Safety drills are performed; students are well aware of safety procedures during safety drills. District personnel are assigned to review health records and report to the staff the special health needs of students.

School suspensions are extremely low and a positive school culture is supported. Loyalton High school uses restorative justice programs such as Peace Mediators to foster positive peer interaction and student leadership.

The Leadership team of the Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District and the School Site Council have completed an update of our district/schools Safety Plan. Our Safety Plan is based on the National Emergency Management System and is reviewed by the Board of Education annually.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	8	33	1		8	39			8	39		
Mathematics	10	15			8	20			8	20		
Science	6	5			7	13	1		7	13	1	
Social Science	5	27	1		7	17	2		7	17	2	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.12	145
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.12	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.38	N/A
Psychologist	.37	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.12	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	1.7	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$11,426	\$617	\$10,809	\$58,766
District	N/A	N/A	\$13,303	\$58,159
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-18.7	1.0
State	N/A	N/A	\$9,867	\$73,659
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	9.5	-20.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

According to the goals in our Single Plan for Student Achievement, budgeted funds were used to support students in the following programs and positions: Noon Lunch Supervisor, Intervention Aides, EIA/EL Aide to assist English Learners with core classes, Library Aide, GATE, FFA, athletics, and advanced placement and on-line classes. In addition, funding was provided for the purchase of technology to assist in our educational goals. Smartboards are installed in classrooms and students were issued ChromeBooks on a 1 to 1 ratio. Funding is also provided for professional development to keep teachers and administrators up to date in methods and curriculum. A Curriculum Coordinator position was created in part to train teachers in ways to integrate technology to enhance instruction.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$34,346	\$40,430
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,425	\$58,909
Highest Teacher Salary	\$74,075	\$77,358
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$101,919	\$100,453
Superintendent Salary	\$139,446	\$123,728
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	29%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	1	N/A
All courses	4	.9

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Teachers take some time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Here you will see the amount of time each year we set aside for continuing education and professional development. Loyalton High School has been focusing on school-wide literacy improvement for the past six years. During the past few years, we have spent time at Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) and faculty meetings on school-wide literacy systems such as note taking strategies, writing across the curriculum, academic vocabulary development, and reading. During this school year, our PLCs centered on the Common Core State Standards and teaching techniques that support the new standards and the inclusion of more technology in all classrooms. In addition, the district has promoted the use of technology by purchasing smart boards, computers, and other technology for classrooms and offering multiple in-service programs on their use and the development of classroom activities to support new standards. During a recent 1:1 initiative, all students of Loyalton High School were provided with Google Chrome Books. Much professional development time this year has been designated toward the integration of this technology into the classrooms. The PLCs continue to encourage teacher collaboration for the improvement of subject area teaching, classroom management, and student learning and the development of systems to allow for continual improvement. Teacher meetings are held weekly every Tuesday afternoon. More and more we are including teaching strategies, plans for intervention, and school planning into these meetings. Student data is the beginning point of all planning each school year. In addition to test scores, the staff looks at grades and attendance data to plan for the success of each student in meeting individual goals. This data drives the direction the school takes in professional development, support services, and school goals for each year. School funds have been available for staff to attend a variety of subject specific or general professional development programs during the school year and summers. There is a provision in the certificated contract to pay stipends to teachers for attending in-service programs during holidays or school vacations. Release time is provided for programs offered during the school year. Administration makes every effort to encourage and support professional development.