# Sierra County Office of Education <br> School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year <br> Published During 2016-17 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

| School Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Sierra County Office of Education |
| Street | 109 Beckwith Street |
| City, State, Zip | Loyalton, CA 96118 |
| Phone Number | $530-993-1660$ |
| Principal | Dr. Merrill M. Grant |
| E-mail Address | mgrant@spjusd.org |
| Web Site | sierracountyofficeofeducation.org |
| CDS Code | 46104620119289 |


| District Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Name | Sierra County Office of Education |
| Phone Number | $530-993-1660$ |
| Superintendent | Dr. Merrill M. Grant |
| E-mail Address | mgrant@spjusd.org |
| Web Site | www.sierracountyofficeofeducation.org |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Our mission is to provide a primary education with a goal of all children achieving literacy. We provide children with the tools to encourage their total development, enhance their self-esteem and realize their potential in a safe, secure environment.

Sierra County Office of Education Special Education serves Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District students. Please see individual Sierra-Plumas school sites' School Accountability Report Card for more detail.

Sierra County Office of Education students include students who attend school in the State of Nevada and are reported as out-ofstate students. Therefore, no data is reflected for those students in this report.

Sierra County student population is fewer than 10, therefore no data is reflected for those students in this report. Students attending Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District are listed under the enrollment of their respective schools.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Number of <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.


## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| With Full Credential | 2 | 2 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

| Location of Classes |  | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |
| This School |  |  |  |
| All Schools in District |  |  |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District |  |  |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District |  |  |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)
Year and month in which data were collected: N/A

Students use textbooks issued by their school of enrollment. See individual school site sections on Textbooks.

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts |  |  | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  | n/a |
| Science |  |  | n/a |
| History-Social Science |  |  | n/a |
| Foreign Language |  |  | n/a |
| Health |  |  | n/a |
| Visual and Performing Arts |  |  | n/a |
| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) |  |  | n/a |

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district performs an annual inspection using the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.
Base on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our building as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | X |  |  |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs |  |  | X | Roof bellows in high winds. |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
|  |  | X |  |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| English Language Arts/Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or <br> Exceeded |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or <br> Exceeded |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

 Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)| Student <br> Group | Total <br> Enrollment | \# of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> Proficient or <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students |  |  |  |  |

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 0 |
| $\%$ of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 0 |
| $\%$ of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 0 |

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission |  |
| 2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission |  |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Sierra Country Office of Education welcomes parental involvement. This process is altered each year in accordance with student attendance, parent schedules and district school parent involvement activities. Parents are most involved with their children at their individual sites.

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| Dropout Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

| Group | Graduating Class of 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.36 | 3.80 |  |
| Expulsions |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.09 |  |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Sierra County Office of Education is situated in a small rural town. Staff members remain vigilant during school breaks and before and after school each day. Being very small and intimate, the staff is very familiar with all of the parents, guardians and other family members of the children. The school building is situated securely between Loyalton Elementary School and the District Office Complex. All visitors are asked to check in at the school office, and regular school volunteers are screened. Our Safety Plan is based on the National Emergency Management System and is reviewed by the Board of Education annually. The plan covers emergency action procedures and general crisis management for potential situations in the classroom, outside the building and while on school buses. The School Safety Plan is reviewed annually by the administrators and the Board of Education. The school runs practice safety drills (fire, earthquake, intruder) several times a year on a surprise basis to monitor the effectiveness of each drill. This includes a week-long safety training for all staff and students.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status |  |  |
| First Year of Program Improvement |  |  |
| Year in Program Improvement* |  |  |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade Level | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |

[^1]Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 0 | 0 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | .5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other | .5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average Teacher Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ Unrestricted |  |
| School Site | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| District | N/A | N/A | n/a | \$58,159 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | N/A | N/A | n/a | n/a |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$9,867 | \$72,621 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | N/A | N/A | n/a | n/a |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Sierra County Office of Education Special Education serves Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District students. Please see SierraPlumas individual school site's School Accountability Report Card for more detail.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beginning Teacher Salary |  |  |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary |  |  |
| Highest Teacher Salary |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (High) |  |  |
| Superintendent Salary |  |  |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries |  |  |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries |  |  |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.
Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Foreign Language | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All courses | 0 |  |

Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In conjunction with Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District, a Professional Learning Community (PLC) was implemented and meets approximately 10 afternoons throughout the school year. The primary focus is the utilization of technology in order to increase student achievement.


[^0]:    Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[^1]:    Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

